**TFTBot Project:**

# Analysis.

The Problem.

Team-Fight Tactics, often abbreviated as T F T, is an auto-chess, strategy game, created by Riot Games and running on the engine same engine that powers the popular multiplayer online battle arena League of Legend. TFT is an incredibly complex, strategic game that requires a vast amount of game knowledge and experience. Getting skilled at TFT is a large time commitment and overall a slow process. One large hinderance is the lack a training or test mode resulting in the fact that the only way to improve at TFT is to play TFT. There is not a “test mode” for instance that allows you to create a team composition and battle it against a different one and so if you wanted to get experience with a certain team composition or item, you would have to hope you get the opportunity to play the team composition/ item, which is no guarantee, meaning you could waste a large amount of time unnecessarily trying to learn about a rare interaction. If Riot Games were to implement a custom or a test game mode, lots of time could be saved, leading to shortened learning time and quicker improvement at the video game. It would reduce the time commitment that new players need to improve at the game, allowing them to enjoy the game more than if they were left in the dark, as well as allowing experienced users to test out ideas without spending lots of time trying to get the opportunity to do so.

The Stakeholders and Solution.

Suket Arya is an avid TFT player. Within TFT, what Suket particularly enjoys is brainstorming and creating new ideas for team compositions and strategies to test out against other, more popular compositions. However, with his enrolment into university, he no longer has the time to spend multiple games attempting to get a favourable position to be able to test out a team composition, and then the numerous games after that to fine tune the strategy into something completely viable.

My solution is to create a program that can simulate a board/ battle between two team compositions accurately. Following on from this, through a UI, I aim to give the user the ability to place down units and teams, giving the opportunity to users to test out ideas and learn certain matchups, without having to try to recreate the situation in an actual game, which could be a very timely investment. Furthermore, it would give more effective, instant feedback and allow slight tweaking of a board so users could see what they could have done better, rerunning a similar battle multiple times to show them what they should have changed to win that battle in the future, allowing for faster learning and improvement for new players.

This tool aims to be useful for all TFT players, both those looking to scratch the itch of team building and strategic thinking, as well as those looking to improve quicker than their counterparts. Moreover, this tool will be useful at all levels of play, even for pro players who will enjoy the extra freedom it provides.

Existing Solutions:

There are no existing programs that allow for the simulation of TFT battles, however, as this aims to be an educational tool, I’ll compare this solution to what other educational tools out there for TFT.

***Community Guides:***

As with any community, there is lots of content surrounding educating and improving at the video game. Whilst these can be very useful and helpful, especially for a beginner with zero previous experience with the game, the quality of content within the guides can vary widely, from incredibly useful to downright misinformation and harmful to anyone trying to learn how to play the game. When trying to improve through community guides any user has to be careful to ensure the guide is positively reviewed or guarantee themselves that the content within the guide is accurate (which is near impossible for newer players).

Moreover, there is an upper bound for where community guides can continue to help you. Experienced and high level players will learn near to nothing from guides aimed at newer players and there becomes a level where community guides no longer cover a high enough skill level.

Finally, as the game progresses, any guide has to update itself or find itself becoming irrelevant as the new content invalidates the information in the older guide, users have to be careful to check that any content was posted fairly recently or has been updated.

Positives:

* Can be a great starting point and can help beginners avoid simple pitfalls they often fall into.
* Can help pass on guidance from more experienced players to newer ones.

Negatives:

* Can be outdated or low quality
  + Guides must be constantly updated or else they risk giving outdated, invalid or entirely useless advice about the game.
  + The user also must be able to discern the quality of the guide, or there have to be good mechanisms in place for reviewing guides, otherwise new players can be given bad advice without knowing better, severely hindering their ability to improve.
* May not cover an issue or skill that a player needs to learn.
* Only useful for new players or semi advanced players

Examples:

* Mobalytics:
  + Graphical user interface, text, application, email

    Description automatically generated
  + “Mobalytics” offers a starting tutorial/ guide for beginners, helpful so for your first few games you aren’t completely thrown in the deep end with no help, but beyond that provides zero guidance for more experienced players.
* Mobafire Community-Made Guide:
  + Text

    Description automatically generated
  + More in-depth community made guide available on “Mobafire”, offers some good tips, but is now very outdated, at almost two years old. However, it is still one of the top options that comes up if you search for a TFT guide, so newer players could fall victim thinking it was still solid, relevant advice.

***Meta-advice Programs:***

There are programs out there that run alongside TFT and offer guidance on currently strong teams and strategies in TFT. They provide overlays that show up in game and give you a list of compositions to choose from and then information on each composition. They can also contain other pieces of information such as what component items combine into and what these items do. These programs are useful for new players or more experienced ones who do not have time to keep up with the meta (stands for most effective tactics available, a community consensus on what teams are strong at the moment). More casual players generally find these types of programs very useful.

However, they only really provide information available from a google search in a more convenient and accessible place, one that isn’t constantly changing either, so more experienced players do not really have a use for them. They do not really teach the user anything either and sometimes mindlessly following what the program tells the user to do without thinking why can harm or halt their improvement.

Positives:

* Provides advice directly in the game
* Helps player stay up to date with the latest and most effective strategies known to the community at the time.

Negatives:

* Not adaptive
  + Provides no adaptive or specific advice to certain situations, simple informs you what strategies are popular at the time, no matter how viable that strategy is for you in that specific scenario.
* Only useful for new players or players completely out of touch with the meta (most effective tactics available).
* Can slow improvement.

Examples:

* Mobalytics App:
  + 
  + Mobalytics has an app you can install that offers in game advice as shown above. It allows you to select a team composition and gives you advice on where to place units, what items to go and what characters to get.
  + However, liked stated as you progress further, it gets less and less useful.
  + Can actively harm players if it is not the right opportunity to go a certain team composition you selected, choosing to ignore it meaning the program offers nothing in value, whereas forcing the composition when you shouldn’t (either because the user does not know better or otherwise) will harm your chances to win the game.

***Coaching:***

Coaching is always an effective option when looking to improve at the game. Regardless of skill level, as long as you don’t find yourself at the very very top of the rankings, there will always be someone to coach you.

Coaching is undoubtedly the best method to improve at TFT, offering direct and insightful advice which can vastly speed up the rate at which you improve. They can highlight what you are doing wrong and give you tips and knowledge which would have taken you hundreds of hours to learn. It cannot be overstated how useful coaches can be when trying to improve. This, however, is the reason why they can be so inaccessible. Unless you know someone personally good enough and willing to coach you, who also has a talent for teaching people, you will have to pay someone for the privilege, which can be incredibly expensive. A bad coach will also not provide much use, so users have to be careful to get a highly regarded coach to avoid wasting their money and time.

Positives:

* Provides useful, accurate advice.
* Specific to your situation, can tell you the optimum move in any scenario.
* Can provide helpful tips and knowledge.
* Helpful at every skill level

Negatives:

* Not accessible to everyone.
* Requires a large time commitment from the better player.
* Can buy paid coaching which can be very expensive.

Examples:

* A screenshot of a computer

  Description automatically generated with medium confidence
* Live coaching is an effective way to rank up from the very top of top players, and is effective at higher ranks when other methods offer less and less benefit as you go up in rank.
* The downside is that it is very expensive, having to spend lots of money for even a relatively short period of time coaching unless the user personally knows someone willing to coach them.

## Need for Computational Methods.

The problem at hand is uniquely suited to being solved by a computational methodology. With my project, we can use decomposition, breaking a large problem down into many smaller parts, to split up the project in numerous ways. Units, status effects and items within the game can be represented with classes and a board class could run iteratively until the battle is over. Moreover, my program is suited for abstraction. The original TFT always utilises abstraction, however, in order to complete my program, I will need to recreate/ simulate certain parts of TFT, but by utilising abstraction, I can ignore certain aspects of the game that are irrelevant to my needs, such as the item shop and in-depth graphics they offer. I can also utilise abstraction to create a simplified graph/ breakdown of my project:

Diagram

Description automatically generated

Features.

There are numerous features I consider key to the program, such as:

* Creating teams and battles through a user interface.
* Simulating battles rapidly and efficiently.
* Pausing a battle or going through it tick by tick.
* Looking at the state of the battle through the user interface.
* Should not require internet access and be cross platform.

The ability to create certain teams and battles is key to the core aim of being able to test certain strategies efficiently and without the hassle of a normal TFT game, a user interface is required to allow the user to iterate rapidly over multiple team compositions, meaning they can quickly test numerous teams, as Suket likes to rapidly tweak teams constantly to find the best composition.

Suket only brought a low processing power laptop to university, so battles should be simulated rapidly and efficiently so that even users on computers like his can use the program without issues. The code should aim to be as efficient as possible and in a fast-programming language to accommodate for this.

The program should allow the user to pause the battle or iterate through it tick by tick/ at a slower rate so the user can understand what is occurring in the battle on a deeper level without a result just being broadcast to them, simultaneously the battle should be visible through the user interface so the user doesn’t have to go through the additional effort of visualising the battle in their head, simplifying the process and ensuring they understand what is occurring.

Finally, the program should not require internet access, so users can still strategize and brainstorm while they would not usually be able to access TFT. Internet connection at Suket Arya’s accommodation as university is unstable at best as well, so the program should not require internet access to ensure he can access the program at all times. Moreover, while Suket’s laptop is a Windows device, his home computer is a Mac, so my solution has to be cross platform to allow him to access the program on either device.

Limitations.

There are a few limitations of the program and my development of the program. For starters, I have limited time and resources to pour into the project, which is accentuated by a lack of long term experience in programming and Rust in particular. The desired and full implementation of planned features may not be possible due to time constraints, especially as a set amount of time will have to be dedicated to working my way out of bugs/ pitfalls that more experienced programmers have seen before and know how to avoid.

A lack of money and resources will also holds the project back, as with more money a graphics designer could be hired to create the user interface, something I do not have much experience with. Any user interface I create would pale in comparison to something created by a professional and the presentation may risk driving away users who expect higher quality.

TFT itself is developed by an entire team of experienced developers and so perfectly replicating the game and keeping it constantly updated may be near impossible due to the sheer amount of time it would take, even if my abstraction means I can ignore aspects such as animations and graphics. Finetuning the exact stats of a champion or the interactions between numerous abilities will also be too timely, especially when it can be very hard to recreate certain situations in games to see what occurs, so there may be some discrepancies between TFT and the simulation.

While users will be able to edit/ add their own units with specific stats (so users can assist in keeping the simulation accurate), unique abilities for each character will not be able to be implemented by the any users, due to the fact that abilities are more often than not very complex, requiring multiple lines of code (that users would not be able to write or insert into the program) rather than a simple variable change, so users will have to use one of the abilities provided when editing units.

Unlike other educational methods that give direct feedback or advice, users of this program will be required to assess what went well or poorly in a battle, meaning it may be less useful for newer players, although the program will be useful for new players to get a feel for battles and units in TFT. Moreover, another limitation is that my program won’t cover parts of the game such as the economy or carousel so users will have go elsewhere to educate themselves on those sections of the game.

Finally, while the TFT simulation will be able to move forward through a battle at any rate, as it will not store previous ticks/ iterations in the game loop, moving backwards through the loop to rewatch things will not be possible, which may be an issue if users want to check how something occurred or for searching for bugs.

Hardware.

(Minimum) hardware requirements for the finalised program will be low:

* 1GB (spare) RAM.
* 1GB (available) local storage.
* 1.5 Ghz processor.
* Capable of running Windows 8 (or newer), Linux or MacOS.
* A GPU on par or more powerful than:
  + NVidia: GeForce 560
  + AMD: Radeon HD 6950
  + Intel: Intel UHD

Software required for the program is one of the Windows, Linux or MacOS operating systems.

Requirements:

These are the requirements for the program to be considered a success:

Simulation Requirements:

* All items from TFT implemented.
* Minimum of 3 units from TFT implemented.
* All unit abilities implemented.
* All associated status effects implemented.
* Alterable tick speed of simulation.
* Ability to move forward through simulation at variable speed.
* Accurate simulation result.
* Efficient simulation code.

The reason why I aim to implement all the items yet only 3 of the units is due to how the game is updated. Every set, a new cast of fifty or so units are released, each with their own abilities, however, items are updated and changed much less frequently, by first implementing items, I can avoid wasting time implementing units that will have changed by the time I finish the program.

In order for the simulation to be accurate, the associated status effects that items give have to of course be implemented as well.

The next two requirements, “alterable tick speed of simulation” and “ability to move forward through simulation at variable speed” sound identical/ very similar. To clarify what I mean, “alterable tick speed of simulation” means that the period of time that corresponds to a single tick or frame in the simulation should be changeable, so the user should be able to choose whether 10 milliseconds or 1 millisecond occurs in one tick. Of course, a lower tick speed results in a more accurate simulation, but requires more ticks to be simulated, resulting in a performance trade off which allows for users to get decently fast if slightly more inaccurate simulations even on poorer performance.

“Ability to move through simulation at variable speed” means that the user should be able to specify how fast ticks should occur, obviously there is an upper bound on how fast they can be simulated which is how fast the computer can simulate them, but the user should, if they so choose, be able to step through the simulation tick by tick to get a deeper understanding of what is occurring in the battle.

The aim of this program is to be a useful and educational tool for improving at TFT, meaning that the simulations of the battle must aim to be correct, being able to correctly assert the outcome of a battle to a reasonable level of accuracy. Of course, without knowledge of the code behind TFT, perfect recreation is infeasible, but an acceptable level of accuracy should be attainable. By “simulation” I mean the program should be able to accurately recreate the game mechanics of TFT, such as the placement of units on a board, their movement and the damage they deal to each other and the user of their items and abilities, as well as the affect all of these have on the final outcome of the battle.

Finally, the code/ program behind the simulation must aim to be as fast and efficient as possible, not only to allow user on low hardware computers to utilise the software without buffering and annoyance, but also to give more opportunities and use cases for the future. Theoretically, once the simulation has an acceptable level of accuracy and speed, a machine learning program could run on the simulation to learn how to play TFT, which in itself would have infinitely many use cases, but would require a vast amount of data and thus very fast simulations.

Database Requirements:

* Store and alter unit base stats.
* Store and alter item base stats.
* Store outcomes of previous simulations and what team compositions lead to that outcome.

These requirements allow the database to store and alter unit and item stats, avoiding hardcoding them into the program. By avoiding hardcoding them into the program and instead storing them in the database, it allows other parts of the program to interact with and alter the data during runtime, resulting in the user being able to alter or update these stats either to quench a thirst to change the simulation a certain way, or to update the simulation to more accurately represent the game (after a TFT game patch for instance) after the program has been distributed/ sent out.

Storing previous simulation outcomes means the user can avoid re-simulating battles or trying to remember how a certain battle occurred, they can simply look back instead. However, even with previous knowledge of the battle setup, the outcome that occurs is not guaranteed due to the many random elements within the game, meaning exact re-simulation is not possible.

Interface Requirements:

Frontend-Backend:

* Allow the frontend to send the backend details of a TFT board (units placed, time unit etc)
* Allow the frontend to send a command to start a battle.
* Allow the frontend to send commands to change unit/ item stats.
* Allow the backend to send the state of the battle to the frontend.
* Allow the backend to send the outcome of a battle to the frontend.

Backend-Database Interface Requirements:

* Allow the backend to request unit and item information.
* Allow the backend to alter unit and item information.
* Allow the backend to store simulation outcomes.
* Allow the backend to request simulation outcomes.

These interface requirements guarantee that different parts of the program can communicate with each other effectively, especially consider the program will be written partially in different programming languages, meaning that clear and concise communication between them will be very necessary. These requirements ensure that all the data and commands needed to be transmitted between different sections of the program can be.

User Interface Requirements:

* Allow the user to drag and drop units and items onto a TFT board.
* Allow the user to alter board settings such as the time unit (time per tick).
* Allow the user to start a simulation.
* Allow the user to display/ watch the simulation at a pace of their choosing.
* Allow the user to store/ view previous battles and their outcomes.
* Allow the user to alter unit and item base stats persistently (remains changed after program restart).

The user interface should allow the user to interface with the program and mean that the user can utilise the software in all the ways the software aims to be utilised. These requirements ensure the user is able to create boards, view the simulation occurring and then store the outcome, along with alter unit and item stats as they please.

Success Criteria:

1. The user can, through a UI, place down units and items onto the board and then run the simulation at a pace of their own choosing.
   1. The centre of the program will be a “board” which displays all the current cells on the board, all placed units and their items. Before the simulation is run, users will be able to click on the units to change their items/ level. If the unit is placed in the wrong position, there will be a button to “move” the unit to allow it to be placed elsewhere.
   2. At least 3 units and most (more than half) of the current items will be implemented, along with associated status effects.
   3. On the left of the board will be a list of all current units and items, and will have the ability to drag and drop units and items onto the board.
   4. It will not let you drag an item onto a cell that does not contain a unit.
   5. There will be options on the right side of the board that allows the user to change certain settings, such as the time unit or the number of ticks before a battle is registered as a draw.
   6. There will be a “start battle” button, clicking it will begin the simulation. It will be paused on the first frame. Clicking on units now display their health, status effects, stats etc. On the right side of the screen, instead of board settings there will be simulation settings, there will be a play, pause and skip buttons, you can specify at how many ticks per second you want the simulation to play at, skip a certain number of ticks ahead or go forward by a single tick. The battle will not have been pre-simulated, as the battle is being simulated as the user progresses forward, it will not know when the battle will end, so if the user skips past the end, it lets the user know and displays the outcome and the final board. The user will not be able to go backwards through the battle for reasons explained in the limitations section. Projectiles will also be displayed on the board during the battle.
   7. During the simulation, the UI board will only update a few times a second (when battle is not paused). If the user plays the battle at a high enough tick rate, the UI display will not attempt to keep up to avoid performance problems and will display every so often or when the user pauses/ the battle is over.
   8. After the simulation, you will be able to view the final board (on the tick it ended) and there will be a button to save the outcome and initial board layout.
   9. This should allow the user to, with simple controls, layout a team and watch a battle, ensuring they can rapidly iterate through many different team compositions and matchups. It will allow the user to view all relevant information about a battle and move through the battle as slowly as they want, while avoiding lagging the frontend if the backend is simulating ticks too fast.
2. The simulation will have an acceptable level of accuracy to the game TFT
   1. To be more definitive, the program should be able to successfully state the winner of a battle a minimum of 70% of the time.
   2. A minimum level of accuracy like this is required so the program can actually function as designed and allow the user to get a good grasp on battles within TFT.
3. The program should be able to simulate 3 complex battles in under 10 seconds on a low specification computer.
   1. I will test this on my low-performance laptop which is not far above the minimum hardware requirements to run the software.
   2. I define a “complex” battle as a board which contains more than 3 units on each team, each with at least 1 item.
   3. This success criteria ensures that the program will run efficiently and at an acceptable speed regardless of the hardware it is run on, meaning that Suket can be confident it will work on his laptop.
4. Through the UI, the user will be able to change unit and item stats.
   1. There will be a separate page (accessible through a button) which lists all current units and items. Clicking on a unit or item will display its current stats and allow you to change them. There will be a button located at the bottom that allows you to “save” your changes, pressing it will change the unit’s or item’s stats in the database.
   2. This allows the user to easily update unit and item stats. Giving them the power to alter units grants them the ability to either update the stats themselves in line with game updates or with their own desires.
5. Through the UI, the user will be able to view previous simulations.
   1. There will be a separate page (accessible through a button) which lists all previous simulations, their outcomes and the board state before the battle begun.
   2. Viewing previous simulations allows users to avoid rerunning battles pointlessly and to have a simple and conveniently placed location to view battle outcomes. It allows users to build up a catalogue of battles which they could look through in game to see what is best against certain team compositions or similar.
   3. There will not be option to “rewatch” the battle as it occurred, as due to the innate randomness within TFT, it would be simulated differently to the initial run.

# Design.

Breaking down the Problem.

I can break down the problem/ task of creating my program into smaller, easier steps using decomposition. Moreover, I can recall my success criteria and requirements to ensure that I am on path for creating the program successfully and that what I have made so far ticks each box.

Diagram

Description automatically generatedI can refer to my decomposition diagram:

Referring to the decomposition diagram, I have broken down the TFT simulator program into a number of modules and smaller problems.

TFT Simulation:

Once the backend has received the signal to start the battle, and of course the board itself, the first action it has to perform is to setup the board, which I can break down further.

Setting up the board involves:

* Unit Setup:
  + Unit setup is the biggest step. The board has to create two empty vectors to hold the two teams’ units. It must be vectors to allow for their size to vary as units die.
  + The board must also create a dead champions vector to hold champions who have died (as any projectiles they have created must still deal the correct amount of damage and so require the unit details).
  + Then, to fill the two vectors, it must instantiate the unit details it received from the frontend to the correct struct and in the right location, giving each unit a unique id along with this.
  + Following this, each of their item effects has to be granted, giving the units their accompanying stat buffs or status effects.
  + Finally, their initial health must be set to the correct value.
* Projectile Setup:
  + Setup two empty vectors to hold any projectiles the two teams create. It must be vectors to allow for the size to vary as projectiles are added or removed as the game goes on.

Once the board has been successfully setup, the game loop begins until the battle has finished.

The game loop involves:

* Checking for the end of the battle:
  + If either team has zero alive champions remaining (champion vectors are empty), the battle should end and the winner declared.
* Simulating projectiles:
  + The board should iterate through each projectile and for each projectile:
    - Perform its movement action.
    - Check for collisions with any champions (on the opposing team)
      * If there are any collisions, it should deal damage to the champion, this requires finding the unit that fired the projectile as well, so the correct amount of damage is dealt.
      * If the projectile has splash damage, damage should also be dealt to any adjacent enemy champions.
    - Check if the projectile is out of bounds:
      * If the projectile is out of bounds, it will no longer have an opportunity to hit any champion and so should be deleted, to avoid unnecessary computation.
* Simulating units:
  + The board should iterate through every unit and for each unit simulate its turn. Its turn involves:
    - Checking to see if it has zero health or below, in which case it should remove itself from the vector.
    - Performing all status effects currently ailing the champion, checking to see if it has died after performing these statuses.
    - If it is still alive, it should try to move towards or attack its target:
      * If the unit does not currently have a target, it should locate the nearest enemy champion that is targetable.
      * The unit should check the distance to its target.
        + If the target is in range, it should auto attack the target, checking to see if it dodges or dealing the associated damage and giving itself the associated mana.
        + If the target is not in range, it should move to its pathfinding target cell:

If the unit does not have a current pathfinding target cell, it should find the first available cell that reduces the distance to the target by the most.

Once the unit has a pathfinding target cell, it should add any movement progress then check to see if it has enough progress to move into the next cell.

* + - Once it has moved or attacked, it should check to see if it has enough mana to cast its ability.
      * If it has enough mana to cast its ability, it should, performing the ability associated with the champion.

After simulating all of this, the game loop should begin again on the next tick, repeating these steps until one of the teams has won. However, the board should also keep track of how many ticks it has performed, incrementing it by one each time it performs the game loop, if the tick count goes above a certain value, the battle should finish and be declared a draw, to avoid any never-ending battles that are simulated indefinitely.

Frontend - UI:

The UI has two different jobs depending on whether a simulation is currently occurring.

If a battle has not begun, the UI should allow the user to setup the board, giving users the ability to drag and drop items and units onto the board in certain locations. The UI should also allow the user to navigate to a different page to allow the user to edit unit stats or view the results of previous simulations.

If the battle has begun the UI should:

* Have buttons controlling navigation through the battle. The battle should begin paused, with buttons to play, step forward 1 stick, step forward x ticks, and change how many ticks are played per second.
* If the battle is currently playing, or the user has stepped forward some ticks and needs the backend to simulate some ticks:
  + The frontend should send a command to the backend asking it to perform x ticks.
  + Once these ticks have been performed, then the backend should return the state of the board to the frontend so the user can view the battle.
  + The frontend should be able to interpret the board it has received and display it correctly, allowing the user to view the units status/ health as well so they can get a deeper understanding of the battle.
  + There will be a limit to the rate at which the UI requests updates from the backend, to avoid lagging the frontend by trying to too rapidly update the UI/ display of the board.

Database:

The database should be in communication with the backend and should appropriately respond to any requests it receives. There are a few requests it may receive:

* Retrieve list of unit ids:
  + If this is requested, it should return the unit ids of all units in the backend, allowing the backend to request further details on all of them.
* Retrieve unit stats:
  + If this is requested, the database should return the unit stats/ details correlating to the associated id it received with the request.
* Retrieve list of item ids:
  + If this is requested, it should return the item ids of all item in the backend, allowing the backend to request further details on all of them.
* Retrieve item stats:
  + If this is requested, the database should return the item stats/ details correlating to the associated id it received with the request.
* Alter unit stats:
  + If this is requested, the database should change the unit stats to the stats it received along with the request, for the unit that is associated with the id it received in the request.
* Alter item stats:
  + If this is requested, the database should change the item stats to the stats it received along with the request, for the item that is associated with the id it received in the request.
* Retrieve list of ids of previous simulations:
  + If requested, the database should return a list of the ids of all previous simulations, allowing the backend to query each of them.
* Retrieve details of previous simulation:
  + If requested, the database should return the details of the simulation associated with the id received in the request.
* Add new simulation:
  + If requested, the database should add a new entry to the database with details of the simulation it received.

The database should always assume that any data it receives is accurate and in a valid format, with the backend doing the job of validating any requests/ data it receives for the database from the frontend (ie ensuring that the new simulation has all the details it needs).